Jeremy Thiesfeldt

STATE REPRESENTATIVE • 52nd ASSEMBLY DISTRICT Testimony – Assembly Bill 244

Regarding restricting eligibility for the Homestead Tax Credit

Good morning. Chairman Murtha, members of the committee.

Restricting eligibility for the Homestead Tax Credit is an issue that was brought to my attention back in January by Louise Gudex, the Executive Director of the Fond du Lac City and County Housing Authority. You will also receive a copy of the testimony she is submitting in her absence today. This issue is costing the state millions of dollars by allowing recipients to receive a double subsidy for the same purpose.

Under this bill as currently drafted, a Homestead Credit claim is not allowed during the portion of the year the claimant or any member of the claimant's household receives a housing subsidy under Section 8 of the federal government's general program of assisted housing. As I researched this bill further we found that there are additional government subsidized federal rental programs available beyond Section 8 housing, and I will be offering an amendment to fix this oversight. It was my intention, as the amendment will state, to make ineligible any individual that "receives a housing subsidy from the federal or state government that is based on income, in the year to which the claim relates." This amendment will cover any current federal programs that are in place or any future ones that the state may authorized.

Currently, the state of Wisconsin is giving tax credits on top of those who already obtain federal housing benefits because of their income status. The Homestead Credit's original purpose was set up to help those on fixed incomes, mainly seniors. An excerpt from the Informational Paper #24 states, "In 1964, Wisconsin pioneered the circuit breaker approach to property tax relief by establishing the homestead tax credit to relieve low-income homeowners and renters aged 65 or older of excessive property taxes." As you may know, the age restriction does not apply anymore and the Homestead Credit has become an income-based relief program.

This would not be the first restriction placed on the Homestead Credit subsidy. Informational Paper #24 lists eight current restrictions. For example one cannot claim the credit if at the time of filing the claimant is a nursing home resident participating in the medical assistance, or a credit cannot be received for any month in which the claimant received \$400 or more of cash benefits under a county relief program. This bill would add a further exclusion disallowing the Homestead Credit for anyone already receiving any federal housing subsidies.

Section 8 housing rent rates are based on income. For Public Housing and Section 8 new construction, the tenant pays 30% of their <u>adjusted</u> income for rent. The adjustments are: medical expenses (if handicapped or disabled), dependent deduction, elderly deduction, utility allowance, or child care expenses. Rent calculations are the same regardless if they are owned by a Housing Authority or a private company. The gap in actual rent and what the renter pays is covered by the federal government.

Over \rightarrow

Individuals using Section 8 subsidy are already receiving a generous housing benefit from the federal government and as it stands are able to also qualify for state's Homestead Tax Credit. This practice is duplicative and the state should not provide a benefit on top of another for the same purpose. Some might even look at this as another episode of "double dipping." This is yet another example of the federal and state government working in opposition to one another by providing multiple benefits for the same purpose at a loss of taxpayer dollars.

Lastly, as the only fiscal estimate indicates, there is an estimated \$13.3 million annual savings for simply the Section 8 housing recipients. I will be waiting for a revised estimate that includes all of the federal housing subsidy programs and make sure the committee is notified when it is completed.

Thank you.

Numbers on Housing Units available under Section 8

Harris a Arribanita	Public Housing	Section 8 New or Rural Development	
Housing Authority	Units	515	
Abbotsford	30	40	
Adams County	24	91	
Albany	31		
Algoma	39		
Altoona	36		
Amery	55	80	
Antigo	84	200	
Appleton	211		
Ashland	137	30	
Ashland County	40	4	
Baraboo	50	61	
Barron	30		
Barron County		70	
Bayfield		98	
Beloit	131		
Bloomington		14	
Boscobel	46	20	
Brillion	54		
Bruce	45		
Burnette	32	52	
Chetek	30		
Chilton	44		
Chippewa			
Clear Lake		8	
Clintonville	61		
Crawford		30	
Cuba City		36	
Cumberland	27	40	
Dane	86	16	
DeForest	36		
DePere	100		
Dickeyville		22	
Dodge		165	
Eau Claire	109	175	
Eau Claire County	17		
Edgerton	105		
Evansville		20	
Fond du Lac City	249	100	
Fond du Lac County	43		
Frederic	53		
Gilman	2		
Grantsburg	32		
-			

Housing Authority	Public Housing Units	Section 8 New or Rural Development 515	
Green Bay	204	:	
Greenwood	204		
Hartford	20	45	
Hilbert		20	
Hillsboro		32	
Hudson	57	3 2	
Hurley	54		
Jefferson	62		
Juneau	UZ.	108	
Kaukauna	74	100	
La Crosse	601	173	
La Crosse County	128	66	
	40	00	
Ladysmith	40	77	
LaFayette Lake Mills	63	,,	
Lancaster	03	112	
Lincoln	20	64	
	2.0 8	16	
Loyal Luck	16	10	
Madison	755		
Manitowoc	102		
	76	83	
Marinette	208	. 03	
Marshfield	50		
Mauston	128	26	
Menomonie	48	20	
Mercer	102	110	
Merrill		110	
Milwaukee	4402	12	
Minong	20	12	
Mondovi	26		
Monroe	86	100	
Monroe County		100	
New Auburn (c/o Chippewa HA)	Ca	12	
New London	63		
New Richmond	40		
Oconto	75	22	
Oneida County	20	22	
Osceola	30	420	
Oshkosh	336	120	
Outagamie		240	
Park Falls	35	400	
Pepin		102	
Peshtigo	40	20	

Housing Authority	Public Housing Units	Section 8 New or Rural Development 515	
Plymouth	50	723	
Polk	30	102	
Portage		88	
Prairie Du Chien	38		
Prescott		48	
Pulaski	20	8	
Racine	10	. 24	
Reedsville	27	64	
Rhinelander	50		
Rice Lake	126	81	
Richland Center	62		
River Falls	70	106	
Rusk		48	
St. Nazianz		19	
Sauk City	40		
Sauk County		38	
Sawyer		48	
Shawano	146		
Shawano County	202		
Sheboygan	240	49	
Shell Lake	. 29		
Slinger	49		
South Milwaukee CDA	60		
Sparta	57		
Spooner	40		
Spring Valley	16	28	
Stanley	50		
Stevens Point	278	80	
Superior	464		
Thorp	60		
Tomah	28		
Trempealeau	60	146	
Viroqua	130		
Washburn	36	40	
Washburn County		52	
Watertown	80		
Waukesha	268		

Example of CALCULATING OF TOTAL TENANT PAYMENT

1	Total Annual Income				\$	_	
2	3% of Annual Income	_\$					
3	Less Dependent Deduction (\$480 per dependent)		_\$				
4	Less Allowable Child Care Expens	es	\$				
5	Less Elderly Deduction (\$400 only if head or spouse is at I or handicapped or disabled)	east 62	\$,		
6	Total Medical Expense (Only if head or spouse is handical	s oped or disabled)					
7	Less Allowable Medical Expenses (Only if head or spouse is handical Item 6 less Item 2	oped or disabled)	\$				
8	Total Allowances/Deductions				\$		
9	Annual Adjusted Income (Item 1 le	ss Item 8)			\$		
10	0 Monthly Adjusted Income (Item 9 divided by 12 months)			\$	_		
11	1 30% of Monthly Adjusted Income = TOTAL TENANT PAYMENT			\$			
	Calculation o	f Tenant Rent for I	_	oice Voucher Pr	ogram		
		Contract Re Plus Ut Allowar	ility	\$			
		Gross Rent		\$			
	Total Tenant Payment	\$		Contract Rent Less Tenant		\$	
	Less Utility Allowance			Rent Housing Assis	fance		
	Tenant Rent	\$		Payment		\$	
Calculation of Tenant Rent for Public Housing Program							
	Total Tenant Payment	_\$					

\$

Less Utility Allowance

Tenant Rent

Homestead Tax Credit Program - Assembly Bill 244

I support Assembly Bill 244. The Housing Authority of the City of Fond du Lac owns 249 Public Housing units and 100 units of Section 8 New Construction. The Fond du Lac County Housing Authority owns 43 Public Housing units and assists approximately 430 families monthly under the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program.

The Housing Authority of the City of Fond du Lac pays the City of Fond du Lac payment in lieu of taxes for our Public Housing units and Section 8 New Construction development. The Fond du Lac County Housing Authority pays the Village of North Fond du Lac payment in lieu of taxes for our Public Housing units located in the Village of North Fond du Lac. There is no relationship between what the Housing Authority pays both municipalities for payment in lieu of taxes and what the tenant pays for rent. These families should not be eligible for the Homestead Tax Credit Program.

Tenants under Public Housing and Section 8 New Construction pay 30% of their adjusted income for housing. The Housing Authority takes into consideration medical expenses, childcare expenses, etc when calculating the tenants rent.

There are privately owned HUD Section 8 New Construction units located in the City of Fond du Lac. They include: Riverview Apartments, Portland Square, Oakwood Apartments, Lakeside Gardens and Maplewood Commons. These owners do pay property taxes but the tenants pay rent based on their income. There is no relationship between what the tenant pays for rent and what the owner pays in property taxes. These families should not be eligible for the Homestead Tax Credit Program.

The Homestead Tax Credit Program in its current form needs to updated and revised. When claiming for Homestead, tenants are not required to have their landlord sign the rent certificate. There are no checks and balances in the system which creates fraud and abuse. Due to this situation, a few years ago some prisoners at Taycheedah filed and received Homestead.

The Wisconsin Department of Revenue should be able to create a data base of all project based federally subsidized housing programs. These programs include: Public Housing, Section 8 New Construction, Section 8 Project based vouchers, Rural Development, etc.

Programs for low and moderate income families are necessary and desirable. We need programs like the Earned Income Tax Program, Badgercare, etc. However, the Homestead Tax Credit Program has no checks and balances and needs to be changed.

Louise M. Gudex, Executive Director
Housing Authority of the City of Fond du Lac
And Fond du Lac County Housing Authority
15 North Marr Street
Fond du Lac, WI 54935

Wisconsin Coalition to End Homelessness

Memorandum

Date:

November 1, 2011

To:

Chairman Murtha and Members, Assembly Housing Committee

From:

Pat Osborne on behalf of the Wisconsin Coalition to End Homelessness

Re:

Opposition to Assembly Bill 244

The Wisconsin Coalition to End Homelessness (WCEH) is a statewide consortium of homeless shelter directors, social service professionals and other individuals and groups dedicated to ending homelessness in Wisconsin. The focus of the Coalition is to sustain and enhance services for homeless individuals and families.

WCEH appreciates the opportunity to submit this testimony in opposition to Assembly Bill 244.

The most recent data from the DOA Division of Housing shows that demand for emergency shelter services was up in 2010 over 2009 and up again in 2011 over 2010 by 9% based on a comparison of mid-year levels. The data underscores a negative trend of increased homelessness in Wisconsin and, likely, the impacts of a prolonged economic recession on low-income families and individuals. It also underscores our concern with Assembly Bill 244, which we believe erodes affordable housing policy in Wisconsin.

The state homestead tax credit is one of the policies the state has put in place to help make housing more affordable; it is designed to soften the impact of property taxes and rent on persons with lower incomes. AB 244 is contrary to that purpose and makes a whole category of low income people ineligible for the credit. Under the bill, an eligible low income person is made ineligible for the homestead credit if the rent they pay is even partially subsidized under a HUD Section 8 housing voucher program.

The HUD section 8 Housing Choice Voucher program is one of the policies employed by the federal government to help people stay out of, or get out of, homeless shelters. It is designed to help very low-income families, the elderly and disabled afford decent housing in the private market. Under the program, a housing subsidy is paid by the area public housing agency on behalf of the participating family. The family then pays the difference between the actual rent charged by the landlord and the amount subsidized by the program. AB 244 would preclude such a family from claiming any amount of homestead tax credit for rent paid.

Under current law, a claimant for the homestead tax credit may not include the portion of rent paid by a section 8 subsidy as gross rent in the computation of homestead credit. Further, if a subsidy is paid directly to the claimant, rather than through a public housing authority on behalf of the claimant, the subsidy is included in the claimant's household income. In other words, current law already excludes the subsidy portion of federally supported housing from the calculation of eligible credit under the homestead tax. Again,

the effect of AB 244 is to preclude an otherwise eligible claimant from applying for homestead credit at all —— even for that portion of rent paid entirely by the claimant.

The Department of Revenue (DOR) indicates in the AB 244 fiscal note that they cannot accurately determine the fiscal effect of the bill on eligible low income claimants who also receive assistance under HUD voucher programs. However, based on the assumptions used by DOR to estimate impacts — the bill represents an average tax increase of \$503 per year for approximately 26,500 Wisconsin taxpayers. This proposed tax increase would be levied on households that earn less than \$24,680 per year.

We cannot definitively say that a \$500 tax increase would result in forcing people out of their homes and into the streets; but we do maintain that such a tax consequence would be particularly difficult for low-income families to absorb.

In closing, both the state homestead tax credit and the federal Section 8 voucher program are intended to help low-income families achieve greater economic self-sufficiency. Both programs are elements of supporting permanent housing solutions to homelessness. These mutual objectives should be encouraged rather than discouraged through measures such as AB 244.

Thank you for your consideration.

For Further Information Contact:

Pat Osborne at (608) 258-9506 or email osborne@hamilton-consulting.com